



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark. Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/888,096		06/22/2001	Lauren B. Wenzl	X-662 US	7929	
24309	7590	08/19/2005		EXAMINER		
XILINX, I	NC		ZHEN, LI B			
ATTN: LEC	GAL DEPA	ARTMENT				
2100 LOGIO	2100 LOGIC DR			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
SAN JOSE,	CA 951	24	2194			
				DATE MAILED: 08/10/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

1						
/ •		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
•	Advisory Action	09/888,096	WENZL, LAUREN B.			
	Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit			
		Li B. Zhen	2194			
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address			
THE	REPLY FILED 05 August 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS AI	PPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	ALLOWANCE.			
1. 🗀	The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliant time periods:	wing replies: (1) an amendment, aff tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in c	idavit, or other evidence, which compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3)			
•	The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing	- ·				
b)	b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.					
	Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	(b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	-			
have unde set fo may	nsions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex r 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the sorth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) TICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply origi r than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropriate extension fee inally set in the final Office action; or (2) a			
	The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp	pliance with 37 CFR 41 37 must be	filed within two months of the date of			
	filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed NDMENTS	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since			
3. 🗵	The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further co (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below)	nsideration and/or search (see NO				
	(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or	• •	ducing or simplifying the issues for			
	(d) They present additional claims without canceling a		ected claims.			
. –	NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1	, ,,				
	The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)		mpliant Amendment (PTOL-324).			
5. <u> </u>			timely filed amendment canceling the			
7. 🛚	For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is protected the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: none.	☑ will not be entered, or b) ☐ will will will will will will will wi	I be entered and an explanation of			
	Claim(s) objected to: <u>none</u> . Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-15</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <u>none</u> .					
	IDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE					
წ. ∟	The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).					
9. □	The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to determine the control of the contro					

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINED
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100

13. Other: ____.

REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER

showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).

10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached.

12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper No(s).

11.

The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The applicant's amendment to the claims includes new limitations (i.e. claim 1, lines 15 - 27; claim 8, lines 15 - 16; claim 12, lines 13 - 14). These limitations were not recited in claims 1, 8 and 12 or its dependent claims and would require further consideration and search.